

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 ***

4
5 JOSHUA C. EVANS,

6 Plaintiff,

7 vs.

8 STIHL INCORPORATED,

9 Defendant.

2:15-cv-02329-JAD-VCF

ORDER

10 Before the court is Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to File First Amended Complaint (#16). Plaintiff
11 seeks to amend the Complaint to add Andreas Stihl AG & CO., KG as a Defendant.


12 Under LR 7-2(d), the failure of an opposing party to file points and authorities in response to any
13 motion shall constitute a consent to the granting of the motion. Here, Defendant filed a response taking
14 no position on whether the court should grant Plaintiff's leave to amend the complaint. (#28).

15 The court has reviewed Plaintiff's proposed First Amend Complaint and finds that Plaintiff has
16 given sufficient reason to grant the instant motion and Defendant Stihl would not be prejudiced.

17 Accordingly, and with good cause appearing,

18 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that is Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to File First Amended Complaint
19 (#16) is GRANTED. Plaintiff must file the First Amended Complaint on or before March 11, 2016.

20 DATED this 4th day of March, 2016.

21
22 

23 CAM FERENBACH
24 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
25